

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/19/2006

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/544,238	_	02/22/2006	Christopher John Montague Meade	1/1460 PCT	1/1460 PCT 2614	
28501	7590	09/19/2006		EXAMINER		
MICHAI	EL P. MOI	RRIS	LUKTON, DAVID			
BOEHRI	NGER ING	ELHEIM CORPO	RATION			
	EBURY R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
P.O.BOX	K 368		1654			
DIDGEEL	EID CT	06977 0369				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· <u></u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/544,238	MEADE ET AL.					
(	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		David Lukton	1654					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status			•					
2a)∏ This 3)∏ Sind	ponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 F</u> action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This ce this application is in condition for allowated in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is				
Disposition of	of Claims							
4a) 0 5)	m(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application  Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra  m(s) is/are allowed.  m(s) is/are rejected.  m(s) is/are objected to.  m(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.						
Application F	•							
10)∭ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Examine drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a light and any objection to the lacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 C					
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notice of D 3) Information	references Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) )/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te					

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:

- 1) Claims 1-25, drawn to a single pharmaceutical composition in which the anticholinergic and the TACE inhibitor are both present.
- 2) Claims 1-25, drawn to two separate formulations, one of which contains an anticholinergic compound and the other of which contains a TACE inhibitor.
- 3) Claim 26, drawn to a use of a capsule.
- 4) Claim 27, drawn to a use of an inhalable solution
- 5) Claim 28, drawn to a use of a composition.

The claimed inventions are distinct.

The claimed inventions are distinct. As made clear by claim 12, applicants intend for the term "pharmaceutical composition" (in the singular) to encompass two separate, independent and distinct formulations. The merits of applicants use of language can be debated later, but at the present time, it is assumed that applicants are equating the singular of a physical entity with the plural of that physical entity, at least insofar as pharmaceutical compositions are concerned. Clearly, Groups 1 and 2 are distinct. If one takes two compounds, both of which have been previously disclosed, and combines them into a single formulation, that single formulation can, in some circumstances, be justifiably characterized as novel, at least insofar as patentability is concerned. But if one has two separate vials, each of which contains a known

compound, it is difficult to see by what reasoning or statute those two vials could define a novel invention. While the question of novelty is unlikely to be resolved prior to the first Office action on the merits, applicants can (hopefully) at least appreciate the distinction, for patentability purposes, between (a) a single composition that contains two drugs, and (b) two separate compositions, each of which contains one drug.

Inventions 3-5 are drawn to a "use", which is not a proper statutory class. In the event that claims 26-28 are amended to recite a specific method of use in response to this Office action, further analysis may be provided.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

In addition to the foregoing, applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect disclosed species (as follows) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

In the event that Group 1 or Group 2 is chosen for initial examination, election is required of a specific and fully defined anticholinergic, and a specific and fully defined TACE inhibitor.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are witten in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Serial No. 10/544,238 Art Unit 1654

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentable distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. > 103 of the other invention.

**\*** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 571-272-0952. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached at (571)272-0562. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

DAVID LUKTON, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER